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Introduction

Reducing dependence on cash assistance programs administered by the Social Security Administration, such as Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI), and increasing economic independence through paid employment are key federal and state policy goals. Declining employment rates for individuals with disabilities during the 1990’s, a time of burgeoning economic growth, have underscored the need for improving both education and employment outcomes for students with disabilities (Burkhauser, Daley & Houtenville, 2000). As compared to nondisabled working age persons, individuals with disabilities are less likely to achieve a high school education, and even less likely to pursue postsecondary education opportunities (Stodden, Dowrick, Gilmore, & Galloway, submitted for publication). For young adults with disabilities, level of education is positively associated with employment even when controlling for factors such as severity of disability and SSI participation (Berry, 2000). Examining the characteristics of postsecondary students with disabilities, including SSDI and SSI participants, may therefore assist with the development of more effective policies aimed at increasing economic and social independence.

The purpose of this brief is to describe the characteristics of undergraduate students receiving SSDI and SSI benefits as they relate to issues of participation in postsecondary education and employment. Specifically, the brief describes results from the National Postsecondary Student Aid Survey (NPSAS 2000) pertaining to undergraduate students with disabilities, with a focus on the differences between students with disabilities participating in SSI, SSDI, and nonparticipants. The brief discusses those results and makes recommendations for research and practice.

Pull out:

SSDI provides cash benefits to eligible persons who have worked (or whose parents have worked) and have paid into the Federal Insurance Contributions Act (FICA) and have subsequently become disabled.
SSI provides cash assistance to eligible persons with disabilities, including children and youth less than 18 years of age, who cannot perform a substantial gainful activity (SGA) (they are unable to earn at least $800 per month).

NPSAS 2000: What We Know about Undergraduate Students with Disabilities

The National Postsecondary Student Aid Survey (NPSAS 2000) obtained information on 50,000 undergraduate students from 900 postsecondary institutions across the nation. The highlights and findings of this study are examined, in brief, to provide an understanding of SSDI and SSI participation in postsecondary education programs.

Highlights

In general:

- SSA program participants represented 8.3% of all undergraduates with disabilities or 125,000 students. Of these, 57% or 71,000 were SSDI participants, 36% or 45,000 were SSI participants, and 7% or 8,000 received both SSI and SSDI.

- Students with disabilities, including SSA program participants, were more often female, white, and single.

- For all groups, students with orthopedic impairments represented roughly a third of all undergraduates with disabilities. Students with mental illness and health impairments were the second and third most predominant disability groups represented.

Differences between SSA participants and nonparticipants with disabilities:

- Both SSI and SSDI undergraduates showed mean delayed enrollment years that were at least two times greater than nonparticipants with disabilities (nine and eight years as compared to four years).

- The mean age of postsecondary enrollment for students with disabilities was 33 years; non-participants with disabilities were on average 23 years of age, SSDI participants were on average 36 years of age and SSI participants were on average 40 years of age.

- SSI and SSDI participants reported significantly higher poverty and lower annual income when compared to nonparticipants.
• SSI participants were less likely to receive loans (18%) when compared to SSDI participants (24%)* and nonparticipating students with disabilities (31%), and also tended to borrow less than these groups.

• On average, both SSI and SSDI participants spent less on tuition and fees than nonparticipants with disabilities.

• SSI and SSDI participants were more likely to have independent status, i.e. no one else can claim them as a dependent, than nonparticipants with disabilities (81%, 90%, and 62%, respectively).

* p < .10

Differences between SSI participants and SSDI participants:

• SSI participants were nearly three times more likely to be African American than SSDI participants and nonparticipants with disabilities.

• SSI students were significantly less likely than SSDI students and nonparticipants with disabilities to enroll in four-year postsecondary institutions and more likely to enroll in two-year colleges.

• SSDI undergraduates more often had dependents and/or were single parents when compared to SSI participants and nonparticipants with disabilities.

• SSDI participants were substantially less likely to attend full-time when compared to SSI participants and nonparticipants with disabilities (44.4% versus 61.2%, and 60.5% respectively).

Discussion

The similarities and differences among SSA program participants in postsecondary education settings warrant consideration as they may relate to legislative and other efforts intending to promote postsecondary education completion and subsequent employment outcomes for persons with disabilities:

1. Given that many SSDI participants had dependent children and/or were single parents, *findings presented here suggest that many of these students may face substantial personal and family obligations that may compete with those of postsecondary studies*. SSDI participants were also less likely to attend full-time than SSI participants and nonparticipants with disabilities, also suggesting that SSDI beneficiaries may experience singular challenges to degree attainment that extend beyond disability alone. On the other hand, the goals of individuals with disabilities receiving SSDI may not necessarily include achieving a degree. Rather, focusing on education and
training that may enhance short-term employment opportunities may be a
reasonable and urgent goal for parents with disabilities. While greater work
earnings may open up with a four-year degree, the necessity of addressing
immediate economic and family responsibilities may take precedence.

2. SSI participants experienced higher levels of poverty and were less likely to
receive loans than nonparticipants. They were also more often enrolled in
two-year or less postsecondary institutions than four-year colleges or
universities. To some extent, **findings about economic circumstances of
SSI participants may be influenced by program eligibility itself.** That is,
earnings and assets restrictions, in addition to the existence of severe
disability may define economic status and discourage loan or grant receipt.
For example, if a student received a $512 per month SSI payment, or $6,144
annually, she may avoid loans or grants that would jeopardize her continued
eligibility for cash and health benefits. From this perspective, program
eligibility restrictions may inadvertently discourage increased attendance and
degree attainment.

3. The late age of enrollment of SSI participants may suggest that **few youth
(i.e., under 18 years) who are SSA participants succeed in gaining
access to postsecondary education within a year after exiting high
school.** Indeed, many high school students with disabilities, including SSI
participants, fail to achieve a 12\(^{th}\) grade education (U.S. Department of
Education, 2002b; Berry, 2000). This may be due, in part, to essential
differences in the SSI child and youth population when compared to adult
participants. That is, 63% of children and youth receiving SSI benefits have
some type of mental disorder, and more than half of these were diagnosed
with mental retardation (Pickett, 2002). While SSA and NPSAS disability
categories are not directly comparable, it is also interesting that persons with
orthopedic impairments represented the largest disability category for both
SSI and SSDI undergraduates in this study.

Delayed enrollment is a significant issue for undergraduates with disabilities
as a whole (Horn & Berktold, 1999), and improving access and retention in
postsecondary education for SSA program participants must be addressed if
positive employment outcomes and economic independence for individuals
with disabilities is to be realized. Looking to include high school students in
Social Security work/educational incentives, for example when a student
participates in a paid work-study program, should not be ignored as a means
of providing students with career guidance and opportunities to explore self-
support. Professionals, parents and students should also identify
postsecondary goals early in the high-school IEP process in order to give the
student opportunities to explore academic and work opportunities in
secondary school that will help them to meet their postsecondary goals.
Recommendations

Rather than discouraging students with disabilities from participating in SSA programs, as these programs can provide students with much-needed financial support, there is a need to provide students with disabilities with more information about financial aid options and SSA work/education incentive programs. For example, students may need assistance in negotiating the process of taking advantage of the financial support that SSA and postsecondary financial aid programs can offer while seeking to obtain a postsecondary degree and become gainfully employed. It is also evident that further research is needed in order to investigate discrepancies in SSA program and postsecondary education participation. Specifically:

- We need more information about the high representation of African American postsecondary students (30.5%), as compared with other racial groups, who are SSI participants. We also need more information about how students who face challenges in addition to those posed by disability, such as socioeconomic status, race, and familial responsibility, are negotiating postsecondary education.

- There is a need to increase financial aid resources and information that is geared specifically towards postsecondary students with disabilities. Financial aid specialists and campus disability support providers need to be made aware of economic circumstances and financial opportunities that may be linked with having a disability.

- We need to look at how SSA work incentive programs, such as Student-Earned-Income Exclusions, Impairment-Related Work Expenses, and the Plan For Achieving Self-Support (PASS) Program, can best be utilized in order to enable students with disabilities to work while they are going to school.

- We must consider how eligibility requirements for SSI and SSDI may be limiting opportunities for postsecondary students with disabilities. For example, age limits on educational incentive programs such as earnings exemptions may not be appropriate given the late entry to postsecondary education (average age 36-40) of students with disabilities who are SSA participants.

- Research is needed to explore the reasons why students with disabilities, both SSA participants and nonparticipants, tend to attend two-year postsecondary programs rather than four-year postsecondary programs, especially given that completion of a four-year degree tends to lead to better employment outcomes.
• Research is needed to explore the reasons why students with disabilities, especially SSA participants, enroll in postsecondary institutions so late after graduating from secondary school.

In summary, there is a two-fold purpose of social security benefits to people with disabilities—providing assistance to those in need, while also reducing their need for assistance. Postsecondary education may be an effective middle ground for these sometimes conflicting purposes, and is often the key to better employment outcomes. While postsecondary students with disabilities are in need of financial assistance, they are also improving their chances for financial independence. Effective ways to improve postsecondary education participation for SSA recipients must be studied and optimized in order to maximize the efficiency and effectiveness of SSA programs.
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Table 1. Profile of Undergraduate Students with Disabilities during the 1999-2000 Academic Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SSI Participants</th>
<th>SSDI Participants</th>
<th>Nonparticipants with Disabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent (S.E.)</td>
<td>Percent (S.E.)</td>
<td>Percent (S.E.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>53.3 (7.2)</td>
<td>55.7 (5.6)</td>
<td>59.1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>46.8 (7.2)</td>
<td>44.3 (5.6)</td>
<td>40.9 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>62.9 (8.1)</td>
<td>74.7 (6.2)</td>
<td>77.1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>30.5 (7.7)</td>
<td>12.0 (3.7)</td>
<td>11.1 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6.7 (3.0)</td>
<td>13.4 (5.1)</td>
<td>11.8 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital Status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single, Never Married</td>
<td>44.1 (8.2)</td>
<td>37.9 (6.7)</td>
<td>57.0 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>19.6 (6.5)</td>
<td>30.8 (6.5)</td>
<td>29.0 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>36.4 (7.3)</td>
<td>31.3 (5.2)</td>
<td>13.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent Children</td>
<td>27.5 (6.3)</td>
<td>40.4 (6.1)</td>
<td>31.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Parent</td>
<td>20.8 (5.9)</td>
<td>25.3 (5.2)</td>
<td>16.3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institution Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Year</td>
<td>23.3 (5.1)</td>
<td>30.4 (4.3)</td>
<td>42.3 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Year</td>
<td>74.6 (5.2)</td>
<td>65.7 (4.5)</td>
<td>54.2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Than Two Year</td>
<td>2.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.5 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendance Intensity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full-Time</td>
<td>61.2 (7.8)</td>
<td>44.4 (6.0)</td>
<td>60.5 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half-Time</td>
<td>9.9 (4.1)</td>
<td>30.4 (7.3)</td>
<td>23.4 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Than Half-Time</td>
<td>29.0 (7.4)</td>
<td>25.2 (6.9)</td>
<td>16.1 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age at Start of PSE</td>
<td>40.1 (2.8)</td>
<td>36.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>22.5 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Years for Delayed Enrollment</td>
<td>8.5 (1.6)</td>
<td>7.8 (1.2)</td>
<td>3.7 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Percentages may not equal 100 due to rounding.
Table 2. Economic Profile of Undergraduate Students with Disabilities during the 1999-2000 Academic Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependency Status</th>
<th>SSI Participants</th>
<th>SSDI Participants</th>
<th>Nonparticipants with Disabilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percent (S.E.)</td>
<td>Percent (S.E.)</td>
<td>Percent (S.E.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent</td>
<td>81.2 (5.3)</td>
<td>89.3 (4.2)</td>
<td>61.8 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependent</td>
<td>18.7 (4.2)</td>
<td>10.8 (4.2)</td>
<td>38.2 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of Poverty</td>
<td>167.4 (23.1)</td>
<td>199.7 (25.3)</td>
<td>297.0 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Annual Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Students</td>
<td>$15,710 (3,411)</td>
<td>$23,372 (3,227)</td>
<td>$30,368 (982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Receiving Loans</td>
<td>18.0 (4.6)</td>
<td>23.9 (4.2)</td>
<td>31.2 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Receiving Grants</td>
<td>55.4 (8.9)</td>
<td>48.6 (5.8)</td>
<td>47.1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Loans During Year</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$5,684 (477)</td>
<td>$5,867 (165)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Borrowed as of 2000</td>
<td>$7,238 (1,765)</td>
<td>$11,529 (1,657)</td>
<td>$10,879 (378)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Grants</td>
<td>$2,550 (469)</td>
<td>$2,830 (337)</td>
<td>$3,091 (116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuition and Fees</td>
<td>$1,906 (342)</td>
<td>$1,636 (193)</td>
<td>$2,906 (121)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Adjusted Budget</td>
<td>$6,836 (773)</td>
<td>$7,577 (521)</td>
<td>$8,811 (194)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Percentages may not equal 100 due to rounding.